



**GREATER
CAMBRIDGE
PARTNERSHIP**

Growing and sharing prosperity

Delivering our City Deal

Report to: Greater Cambridge Partnership Joint Assembly

18 January 2018

Lead officer: Beth Durham – GCP Head of Communications

Our Big Conversation

1. Purpose

- 1.1. The report presents the interim findings from the Greater Cambridge Partnership's (GCP's) autumn 2017 public awareness and engagement programme 'Our Big Conversation' (OBC).
- 1.2. The exercise aimed to strengthen the evidence-base needed to inform the GCP's Future Investment Strategy (FIS) by generating public dialogue on the Greater Cambridge growth story, testing emerging GCP proposals with the public and undertaking a comprehensive travel survey to refresh 2011 census data.

2. Key issues and considerations

- 2.1 The GCP is in the process of developing a 10 year Future Investment Strategy (FIS), looking beyond the next 'gateway review' to focus on its long term vision for economic growth in order to align its future resources accordingly.
- 2.2 A period of focused stakeholder engagement, under the banner 'Our Big Conversation', was undertaken between September 25 and November 30 2017.
- 2.3 OBC was delivered via a multi-channel marketing communications campaign targeting key GCP stakeholders including residents of Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire, employers and commuters within Greater Cambridge, elected Councillors, private and public services employees, students and school children. A consolidated report, prepared by Cambridgeshire County Council's Research Group, can be found at **Appendix A** which will follow.
- 2.4 The campaign used three mechanisms for recording public feedback: a) Comments generated at OBC events, paper and online OBC survey (led by the GCP Communications and Engagement Team); b) A Computer Aided Telephone Interview (CATI) travel survey of a representative sample of 1,021 Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire residents, commissioned from industry experts Systra, full report found at **Appendix B**; and c) A wider, largely self-selecting public travel survey with 200 Computer Aided Personal Interviews (CAPI) carried out door-to-door and commissioned from Travel for Cambridgeshire. Evaluation on-going.

- 2.5 Census data was used to seek a representative sample of residents in the Systra survey. In the case of the Travel for Cambridgeshire travel survey, consumer insight analysis software (Acorn) was used to identify, engage and seek feedback from traditionally seldom-heard groups, for example younger households with people at the early stages of their careers (people with a stake in the future) and also people from low income households (particularly where income limited transport or travel choices).
- 2.6 In all, 38 public events were held, primarily at high footfall venues including supermarkets, transport hubs, hospital concourses and a Cambridge United football match. This number includes five targeted business workshops, two elected Councillor briefings, and events targeting children and young people.
- 2.7 The exercise created wide public awareness, generated 10,160 responses in total including 1,020 individual comments, 484 OBC survey responses and 8,656 travel survey responses. A full campaign impact evaluation report can be found at **Appendix C**.
- 2.8 While evaluation of the full data set remains on-going, it is anticipated that themes and key findings will remain largely the same.

3. Key findings

General

- 3.1 The engagement showed high levels of awareness of growth with 89.4% of OBC respondents 'aware' or 'very aware'.
- 3.2 Traffic congestion was ranked as the highest challenge or travel challenge at 64.6%, with associated issues of sufficient and reliable public transport (both 42%) while 67% of respondents said they were unhappy with their current housing situation; over 50% cited the cost of buying as a the key issue; 44% of Cambridge respondents also cited the cost of renting property.
- 3.3 In priority order, people said the following GCP investments would help them get on better in life: 1. Improved public transport (55.9%) 2. Access to housing (17.5%) 3. Smart technology solutions (8.9%) and 4. Linking training opportunities to employment (4.6%).
- 3.4 In general, people showed support for both immediate and long-term solutions to address these challenges. In the case of transport, there was a general acceptance that behaviour change is required alongside the introduction of new public transport infrastructure. Most of the comments received focused on the travel behaviour of particular groups and how this needed to change. In particular, people pressed for a switch out of cars and onto public transport.

Transport

- 3.5 OBC survey results showed strong support for the developing GCP transport strategy with 85.3% 'supporting' or 'strongly supporting' improving public transport, followed by 83.3% for improving cycling and walking and 72.9% for reducing general traffic in the city.
- 3.6 The residents' travel survey showed an increase in car/van use for commuting since the 2011 census in both Cambridge (32% to 37%) and South Cambridgeshire (64% to 75%). However, there was clear potential and appetite for modal shift within this group with over half (56%) saying they would like to make more journeys without their car or van – bus, minibus, coach services and cycling are identified as the most likely alternatives.

- 3.7 Speed and reliability were the most common reasons for car/van drivers not using alternatives at present. Only 6% of those who currently make journeys by car/van said that none of the proposed initiatives would encourage them to shift mode.
- 3.8 The proportion of people commuting by bicycle has also shown an increase (30% to 39%) whilst commuting by foot shows a decrease (from 16% to 5%). 62.3% of OBC respondents said that a significant increase in access to safe cycle, walking and non-motorised pathways would benefit them.
- 3.9 The survey results showed some clear preferences for certain incentives to encourage modal shift. The top five incentives were:
1. Introducing new public transport routes;
 2. Improving reliability of public transport services;
 3. Making public transport cheaper;
 4. Improving the frequency of services on public transport; and
 5. Introducing free parking at Park & Ride sites.
- 3.10 There was a clear public view that the key to encouraging modal shift is to increase the cost of car travel and decrease the cost/time taken for other modes (most noticeably bus travel).
- 3.11 A range of options for managing down general traffic in the city centre, coupled with the potential to raise on-going revenue to reinvest in an improved public transport network were presented. Of these, beyond exceptionally high support for improvements to public transport, there were higher levels of support from both residents and businesses for a dynamic or “intelligent” road charging system (applied according to levels of congestion) and for pollution charging than for a Workplace Parking Levy (p. 33 Systra).

Housing

- 3.12 The vast majority of people now recognise the supply of affordable housing as the critical issue for the sub-region
- 3.13 Respondents were concerned about the cost of housing in Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire. Some respondents commented that travelling from outside of Cambridge into the city every day was more affordable than living there (but increased pressure on the transport network). Others related how, even on above average incomes, they could not afford a home. Help to Buy schemes were mentioned and were not felt to make a home affordable.
- 3.14 Respondents felt there was not enough choice in housing. There were comments that houses in Cambridge centre were being sub-divided into flats. People were also concerned that the housing market was focused towards providing expensive property towards the centre of Cambridge and that this approach was changing the whole nature of the city centre.

Skills

- 3.15 A relatively small proportion of OBC respondents expressed a need for help to access skills or employment (16%).
- 3.16 For those who were seeking to access skills, the lack of courses available locally was an issue with people citing having to go to London to access some vocational courses.

3.17 For those looking to alternate employment, they cited an imbalance in the local labour market with jobs in the hi-tech / Bio-tech sector (and other areas of growth) not matching their own skill set.

Smart

3.18 Generally, people welcomed any technological solutions that would smooth the end-to-end journey – especially across different public transport services.

3.19 Of specific smart solutions presented for feedback, the most popular investment was shown to be in improvements to ticketing in terms of use across different forms of transport and pricing discount structures that recognised current patterns of use. There was also support for improved accuracy of real-time transport information.

3.20 At the same time, the challenge back was for GCP to broaden smart working to include ‘smart ideas’ and ‘smart design’ rather than simply focusing on new technology. People with disabilities that had an impact on their ability to travel wanted better (smarter) design of the public transport network. Others wanted public transport services to be better designed to match non 9-to-5 working patterns.

4. Next steps and milestones

4.1 Work to analyse the complete data set for the Travel for Cambridgeshire survey is on-going. As a result, the final report will be published as a supplement to the Future Invest Strategy (FIS) reports in February/March.

List of appendices

Appendix A	Our Big Conversation key findings
Appendix B	Systra Greater Cambridge Residents Travel Survey Report
Appendix C	Big Conversation campaign impact analysis